

REMARKS


Claims 1-38 are pending in the case. The Office Action allowed claims 1-26 and 31-38, but rejected claims 27-30 as vague and indefinite. There were no other objections or rejections. In particular, claims 27-30 were rejected for reciting in the preamble that the claim was for a method and reciting structural limitations in the body of the claims. Applicant has amended the claims to cure this problem. However, Applicant respectfully submits that the claims should have been objected to rather than rejected. The point is nevertheless moot in light of the amendments.

Applicant notes that the Office Action fails to address whether the drawings are acceptable. Applicant assumes that the drawings are acceptable unless otherwise notified on the record.

Applicants respectfully submit that the application is now in condition for allowance.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at (713) 934-4053 with any questions, comments or suggestions relating to the referenced patent application.

Respectfully submitted,


Jeffrey A. Pyle
Reg. No. 34,904

WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON
CUSTOMER NUMBER: 23720

Attorney for Applicant

10333 Richmond Dr., Suite 1100
Houston, Texas 77042
(713) 934-7000

Date: January 30, 2004